

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/752,236	01/02/2001	Ronald L. Faria	WWB-70-2000	8083
75	90 09/18/2003			
WILLIAM W. BURNS, ESQ. SUITE 1A 15720 WINCHESTER BLVD.			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, KIMBERLY D	
LOS GATOS, O	CA 95030		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2876	
			DATE MAILED: 09/18/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/752,236	FARIA ET AL.				
,	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Kimberly D. Nguyen	2876				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover she t with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment whi eal (with appeal fee); or (3) a tim	cation. A proper repict	oly to a cation in			
<del></del>	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The datave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exters of CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener bearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the man SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE ate on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insign and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection.  E FINAL REJECTION.  136(a) and the appropriate existence fee. The appropriate existence for action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>11 August 2003</u> . A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	FR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	ithin the period set f of the appeal.	orth in			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without cance	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.			
NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).						
5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request for application in condition for allowance because: S	or reconsideration has been con See Continuation Sheet	sidered but does No	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.						
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims v	nt(s) a)□ will not be entered or would be rejected is provided be	b)⊠ will be entered low or appended.	and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>N/A</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: N/A.						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>11-20</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	v					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed oni	s a)□ approved or b)□ disar	proved by the Exar	miner.			
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>	,	///k	_			
			EVALUED			
	∠ St	JPÉBNISORY PATENT TECHNOLOGY CENTI				

Continuation of 5, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Zarembo, Launt, Holce et al., Milberger, and Schaefer still meets the claimed invention.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 11-20 remain rejected as set forth in the Final rejection (see paper no. 7).